From: Sivak, Michael

To: Mitchell, Tanya; Clemetson, Michael; Mishkin, Katherine; Clemetson, Michael; Donovan, Betsy

Subject: RE: NJDEP Rationale Table

**Date:** Thursday, September 10, 2015 3:19:25 PM

## Tanya and Betsy,

Here are my comments. Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

## RTC 08262015 SAP Comments:

Response to Specific Comment 23 EPA agrees that delineation should continue until NJDEP residential and non-residential SRS have been achieved, and not to levels consistent with the Ecological Screening Criteria or Impact to Ground Water numbers. However, areas where contamination is identified below residential and non-residential SRS but above Ecological Screening Criteria or Impact to Ground Water numbers should be noted in the RI report.

## RTC General RI Comments:

Response to Specific Comment 3b: The response indicates that concentrations in downgradient wells will be compared to concentrations in upgradient wells to determine if the landfill is contributing to groundwater contamination for compound that are naturally occurring. Will this comparison be statistical? Please provide further details on how the comparison will be conducted. Summary of VOC Results in Soil and Sediment Samples

- 1. EPA is in agreement that VOCs should be analyzed in data gap samples collected near POI-3 and SS-109, which have historically showed VOC concentrations in excess of screening levels.
- 2. EPA recommends that VOCs be analyzed in data gap samples collected near SS-103, which had concentrations of VOCs in excess of screening levels. This location is very near MW-1, which had detections of benzene.
- 3. EPA also recommends that VOCs be analyzed in data gaps samples collected around MW-10, which also historically had concentrations of VOCs above screening levels.

## Michael Sivak 212.637.4310

From: Mitchell, Tanya

**Sent:** Monday, August 31, 2015 7:09 AM

To: Clemetson, Michael; Mishkin, Katherine; Clemetson, Michael; Donovan, Betsy; Sivak, Michael

Cc: McKenzie, Jill

Subject: FW: NJDEP Rationale Table

Hello All.

Attached email below contains the transfer site to receive the ARCADIS revised Data Gaps Addendum 1 SAP and QAPP.

Please let me know if you have any problems accessing the transfer files.

Thanks, Tanya

**From:** WeTransfer [mailto:noreply@wetransfer.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, August 27, 2015 5:45 PM

To: Mitchell, Tanya

**Subject:** <a href="mailto:suzy.walls@arcadis-us.com">subject: suzy.walls@arcadis-us.com</a> has sent you a file via WeTransfer

Ц		Ц
	suzy.walls@arcadis-us.com sent you some files  Download	
	Files (15.1 MB total)  1741412130_Clean Version_Data Gaps SAP Addendum_Revised 08262015_final-fixed.pdf  1741412130_RLS_Data Gaps SAP Addendum_Revised 08262015_final-fixed.pdf  1761412130_clean version_2015 QAPP addendum_082615-fixed.pdf  1761412130_RLS_2015 QAPP addendum_082615.pdf  2701512130 RTC 08262015 SAP Comments-fixed.pdf  2701512130_VOC-fixed.pdf  Will be deleted on 3 September, 2015	
	Get more out of WeTransfer, get Plus	
	About WeTransfer Contact Legal Powered by Amazon Web Services	

From: Mitchell, Tanya

Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2015 6:46 AM

**To:** Clemetson, Michael (<u>Clemetson.Michael@epa.gov</u>); Mishkin, Katherine

(Mishkin.Katherine@epa.gov); Clemetson, Michael (Clemetson.Michael@epa.gov); Donovan, Betsy

Cc: 'McKenzie, Jill'

**Subject:** FW: NJDEP Rationale Table

Attached are EPA's original comments on the Data GAPs Addendum along with additional comments on the revised sampling locations for Table 1. ARCADIS is scheduled to submit the revised Data Gaps Addendum on Thursday, 8/27. I will forward the revised document upon receipt. Please review the revised Data Gaps Addendum 1 SAP and QAPP. Your comments are **requested by September 11, 2015**.

Should you have any questions or scheduling conflict regarding this email, please notify me upon receipt of this email.

Thanks, Tanya